**RESEARCH ARTICLE** 

# East-west genetic differentiation in Musk Ducks (*Biziura lobata*) of Australia suggests late Pleistocene divergence at the Nullarbor Plain

P.-J. Guay · R. T. Chesser · R. A. Mulder · A. D. Afton · D. C. Paton · K. G. McCracken

Received: 8 December 2009/Accepted: 25 May 2010/Published online: 20 June 2010 © Springer Science+Business Media B.V. 2010

Abstract Musk Ducks (*Biziura lobata*) are endemic to Australia and occur as two geographically isolated populations separated by the Nullarbor Plain, a vast arid region in southern Australia. We studied genetic variation in Musk Duck populations at coarse (eastern versus western Australia) and fine scales (four sites within eastern Australia). We found significant genetic structure between eastern and western Australia in the mtDNA control region ( $\Phi_{ST}$  = 0.747), one nuclear intron ( $\Phi_{ST} = 0.193$ ) and eight microsatellite loci ( $F_{ST} = 0.035$ ). In contrast, there was little genetic structure between Kangaroo Island and adjacent mainland regions within eastern Australia. One small population of Musk Ducks in Victoria (Lake Wendouree) differed from both Kangaroo Island and the remainder of mainland eastern Australia, possibly due to genetic drift exacerbated by inbreeding and small population size. The observed low pairwise distance between the eastern and western mtDNA lineages (0.36%) suggests that they diverged near the end of the Pleistocene, a period

P.-J. Guay · R. A. Mulder Department of Zoology, University of Melbourne, Parkville, VIC 3010, Australia

P.-J. Guay (🖂)

School of Engineering and Science, Institute for Sustainability and Innovation, Victoria University, St-Albans, P.O. Box 14428, Melbourne MC, VIC 8001, Australia e-mail: patrick.guay@vu.edu.au

#### R. T. Chesser

Australian National Wildlife Collection, CSIRO Sustainable Ecosystems, GPO Box 284, Canberra, ACT 2601, Australia

### A. D. Afton

U.S. Geological Survey, Louisiana Cooperative Fish and Wildlife Research Unit, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA, USA characterised by frequent shifts between wet and arid conditions in central Australia. Our genetic results corroborate the display call divergence and Mathews' (Austral Avian Record 2:83–107, 1914) subspecies classification, and confirm that eastern and western populations of Musk Duck are currently isolated from each other.

**Keywords** Arid zone · Microsatellite · Mitochondrial DNA · Nuclear intron · Nullarbor Plain · Waterfowl

## Introduction

The Nullarbor Plain, a vast arid region of porous limestone and calcareous sandstone in southern Australia, represents a major biogeographic barrier for east-west dispersal of temperate Australian plant and animal species (e.g. Keast 1981). The avifauna of southern Australia is characterised by an east-west division at the Nullarbor (Cracraft 1986),

D. C. Paton School of Earth and Environmental Science, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, SA 5005, Australia

K. G. McCracken

Department of Biology and Wildlife, Institute of Arctic Biology, University of Alaska Museum, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Fairbanks, AK, USA

Present Address: R. T. Chesser U.S. Geological Survey Patuxent Wildlife Research Center, National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution, P.O. Box 37012, Washington, DC 20013, USA with numerous avian species displaying morphological divergence on either side of the Nullarbor sufficient to suggest subspecific differentiation (Schodde and Mason 1999).

Because surface water in the Nullarbor drains away rapidly, this region does not support permanent wetlands. The distribution of Australian waterbirds depends on availability of water in the arid zone (Morgan 1954; Frith 1957, 1959; Roshier et al. 2002; Poiani 2006), and thus the Nullabor is predicted to be an important boundary in the distribution of waterbirds. While the importance of the Nullarbor has been examined using genetic data (Toon et al. 2007; Neaves et al. 2009; Salinas et al. 2009), its role as a barrier for dispersal in waterbirds has not been investigated.

Australian waterfowl (Anatidae) such as Grey Teal (Anas gracilis), Australasian Shoveler (Anas rhynchotis), Pink-eared Duck (Malacorhynchus membranaceus) and Freckled Duck (Stictonetta naevosa) are highly nomadic and can be found at widespread inland locations after major flooding events (Frith 1967; Briggs 1992). For these species, large scale east-west dispersal seems to occur through the Northern Territory rather than through the Nullarbor (Frith 1962). Other species like Chestnut Teal (Anas castanea), Blue-billed Duck (Oxyura australis) and Musk Duck (Biziura lobata) are less nomadic and have regular movement patterns, moving to inland ephemeral wetlands in winter and spring for breeding, and returning to permanent wetlands closer to the coast in summer and autumn (Frith 1967). For the latter three species, the lack of wetlands on the Nullarbor presumably impedes east-west dispersal, but transient flooding may allow some dispersal to occur through the Nullarbor in wet years.

Musk Ducks are a particularly interesting species among Australian waterfowl, because their distribution does not extend to the Northern Territory. Although fossil records suggest that Musk Ducks were formerly more widely distributed (e.g. Worthy 2002), they currently occur as two geographically isolated populations separated by the Nullarbor (Marchant and Higgins 1990). Thus, their east–west dispersal capacity is predicted to depend critically on the availability of water in the Nullarbor. Musk Ducks also occur on Kangaroo Island and in Tasmania (Barrett et al. 2003), which are separated from mainland Australia by Backstairs Passage and Bass Strait, respectively.

Historically, Musk Ducks were thought to be flightless (Ramsey 1867; Campbell 1901), but now are known to fly long distances to colonise ephemeral wetlands after inland rain (Frith 1967; Brooker et al. 1979; Marchant and Higgins 1990). Band recoveries demonstrate that Musk Ducks disperse locally (Anonymous 1988a; Guay 2007). Musk Ducks have been observed on ephemeral wetlands on the Nullarbor after major flooding, but never in large numbers

(Brooker et al. 1979; Burbidge et al. 1987). They also occur on, and forage in, marine habitats (Wood 1960; McCracken 1999) and have been observed in small flocks on the coast of the Nullarbor (Martindale 1980; Congreve and Congreve 1982, 1985; Barrett et al. 2003). These anecdotal sightings suggest that Musk Ducks may move between eastern and western Australia, albeit in low numbers, either inland through connecting ephemeral wetlands or possibly along the coast. Nevertheless, it remains unclear whether there is significant dispersal across the Nullarbor.

Mathews (1914, 1927) described the eastern populations of Musk Ducks as a separate subspecies (*B. l. menziesi*) distinct from the nominate western populations, although others (Phillips 1926; Hartert 1931; Delacour 1959; Parker et al. 1985) considered the species to be monotypic. Display postures and vocalisations of Musk Ducks have been described in detail for populations in eastern and western Australia (Serventy 1946; Stranger 1961; Johnsgard 1966; Lowe 1966). Although some or all postures are shared between eastern and western populations (Fullagar and Carbonell 1986), vocalisations differ markedly (Robinson and Robinson 1970; McCracken et al. 2002). These differences led Robinson and Robinson (1970) and McCracken et al. (2002) to conclude that the two populations probably have been isolated for an extended period.

While numbers of Musk Duck seem stable in Western Australia (Saunders and Ingram 1995) and Tasmania (Bryant and Jackson 1999; S. Blackhall, unpublished data), they have decreased in mainland eastern Australia (e.g. Parker et al. 1985; Davey 1989; Paton et al. 1994). Musk Ducks are currently listed as vulnerable in Victoria (Victorian Department of Sustainability and Environment 2007) and rare in South Australia (Robinson et al. 2000), but are yet to be listed in New South Wales. Little information on population size is available, but the combined eastern and western population is estimated to be 20,000-50,000 individuals (Wetlands International 2006). Major threats to the population include habitat loss due to drainage for agriculture and possible competition for food with introduced European carp (Cyprinus carpio) in the Murray Darling Basin (McCracken 2005). Decreased habitat availability over the last decade, due to a long lasting drought in southeastern Australia, probably has contributed to recent population declines. Because numbers of Musk Ducks are not declining nationally, conservation or recovery efforts have not been initiated. Conservation efforts should not be limited to species or subspecies, but rather target populations that are ecologically and/or genetically distinct (Moritz 1994; Crandall et al. 2000). Understanding patterns of divergence and gene flow between eastern and western Australia is therefore essential for the proper management of the species.

We investigated genetic variation in Musk Ducks in the mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) control region, two nuclear introns, and eight microsatellite loci, to estimate levels of population connectivity. We analysed genetic structure at a coarse scale (eastern versus western Australia) and at fine scales (four populations within eastern Australia). We predicted that genetic structure between eastern and western Australia would be consistent with display divergence, but that the dispersive ability of Musk Ducks would limit structure within eastern Australia.

## Methods

## Sample collection

We collected blood and/or feather samples from 89 Musk Ducks captured or collected from eastern and western Australia between 1995 and 2005 and obtained an additional 71 samples from museum tissue collections and from historical museum specimens dating as far back as the late 1800s (Appendix Table 5). We grouped samples geographically into five populations (Fig. 1): Western Australia (WA; n = 16), Kangaroo Island (KI; n = 47), Tasmania (TAS; n = 8), mainland eastern Australia (SE; n = 55) and Lake Wendouree (LW; n = 34).

## Sampling and study sites

Most of the live captures took place on two wetlands: Murray Lagoon, Cape Gantheaume Conservation Park,



Fig. 1 Geographic distribution of sampling sites within the five populations of Musk Ducks. The shaded area in the southern part of the continent corresponds to the Nullarbor Plain

Kangaroo Island, South Australia ( $35^{\circ}54'$ S,  $137^{\circ}24'$ E; 1995–1997; n = 46) and Lake Wendouree in Ballarat, central Victoria ( $33^{\circ}33'$ S,  $143^{\circ}49$ ; 2003–2004; n = 34).

Murray Lagoon is a 750–1,000 ha natural wetland on Kangaroo Island which greatly increased in size after flooding in 1995 (McCracken et al. 2000). Musk Ducks breed on Murray Lagoon (Baxter 1989; McCracken et al. 2000). The population fluctuates seasonally, and between 1995 and 1997 numbers peaked in early to mid-October (McCracken 1999). We captured Musk Ducks on Murray Lagoon using night-lighting, baited traps, and walk-in-nest-traps (McCracken et al. 2003).

Lake Wendouree is an artificial wetland maintained by the Ballarat city council for recreational purposes. The lake was traditionally topped up in summer, but water restrictions imposed by a recent drought meant that this practice was abandoned in 2003. In 2005, the lake dried up entirely for the first time in 50 years. Musk Ducks bred regularly and, prior to 2005, were sedentary on Lake Wendouree (Thomas and Wheeler 1983; Anonymous 2000; Guay and Mulder 2007). We captured Musk Ducks on Lake Wendouree by hand or using a hand net after they were enticed to the shore using bread morsels (Guay and Mulder 2007).

## Mitochondrial DNA and intron sequencing

We extracted DNA from blood samples using the salting out method (Bruford et al. 1992) and from feathers, tissue and toe-pad samples using the DNeasy Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). Only DNA isolated from feathers, muscle tissue or toe-pad samples was used for amplification of mtDNA, and DNA isolated from blood or tissue was used to amplify nuclear introns and microsatellites. We amplified the 5' end of the mitochondrial genome control region (positions 82–773 in the chicken genome), intron 5 of ornithine decarboxylase (ODC1) and intron 7 of beta-fibrinogen (FGB). Primers included L81 (TATTTGGTTATGCATATT CGTGCAT; M. D. Sorenson unpublished), H493 (Sorenson and Fleischer 1996) and H774 (Sorenson et al. 1999) for control region, ODC1-5F and ODC1-6R (McCracken et al. 2009) and FGB-7F (CTCAGAAGACTGGAGCTCATTTG; M. D. Sorenson unpublished) and FGB-7R (CCRCCRTCTT CTTTNGARCACTG; M. D. Sorenson unpublished). We performed polymerase chain reactions (PCR) on a Corbett Research PC-960C thermocycler using standard recipes. Betaine (1.0 M) was added to PCR reactions of samples from study skin feathers and toe-pads (Johnson and Dunn 2006). We performed PCR amplification as follows: one cycle of 7 min at 94°C followed by 45 cycles of 94°C for 20 s, 56°C for 20 s and 72°C for 60 s, and one cycle of 72°C for 7 min. PCR products were separated by agarose gel electrophoresis, gel purified using the QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA), and sequenced either commercially

(Macrogen, Seoul, Korea) or with the BigDye Terminator kits on ABI 3100 Genetic Analyzers (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). We sequenced both strands of the mtDNA, but nuclear introns were only sequenced in one direction unless the individual was found to be heterozygous for an insertion/deletion (indel), in which case both strands were sequenced to resolve the indel (e.g. Peters et al. 2007).

We sequenced 652 bp from the 5' end of the mtDNA control region of birds collected for this study and 373 bp from most recent samples obtained from museum study skins. All but one of the variable sites were located within the first 244 bp from the 5' end of the sequence. The only variable site outside the first 244 bp was a transition at position 250; this transition was unique to two specimens from Tailem Bend, South Australia (SAMA B25005 and SAMA B23004) that also shared a unique haplotype within the first 244 bp. Thus, we designed an internal primer (H364; GCATGAGTAATGGGAGGTAGC) to amplify the first 244 bp of the control region from older specimens for which amplification using both L81/H774 and L81/H493 had failed. Finally, we sequenced 363 bp from ODC1 and 450 bp from FGB. Sequences from complementary mtDNA strands were reconciled and double peaks in intron sequences were coded with IUPAC degeneracy codes and treated as polymorphisms using SEQUENCHER 3.1 (Gene Codes, Ann Arbor, USA). Sequences were archived in Genbank (accessions numbers: HM536237-HM536608).

# Microsatellite genotyping

Individuals were genotyped at eight Musk Duck-specific microsatellite loci (*Blm2*, *Blm3*, *Blm4*, *Blm5*, *Blm7*, *Blm9*, *Blm11* and *Blm12*; Guay and Mulder 2005). In all eight cases, one primer of each pair was attached with an M13 tail (CACGACGTTGTAAAACGAC) to allow for universal dye labelling (Boutin-Ganache et al. 2001). PCR reactions were performed on a Corbett Research PC-960C thermocycler as described by Guay and Mulder (2005). Fragments were separated on a CEQ 8000 automatic DNA sequencer (Beckman Coulter) and fragment size was estimated using the CEQ 8000 Genetic Analysis System software (Beckman Coulter, 2004; version 8.0.52). Genotyping was repeated for 10% of the individuals and in all cases confirmed that allelic designations were accurate.

#### Statistical analysis: sequence data

Two specimens showed a single transition polymorphism in their mtDNA, suggesting heteroplasmy (QVM:1963/2/ 28 and LSUMZ B34777 from Tasmania and Kangaroo Island respectively). Both haplotypes for these two specimens were considered separately in the analysis. Gametic phase of introns was resolved using PHASE 2.1 (Stephens et al. 2001). PHASE uses a Bayesian algorithm to infer haplotypes from diploid genotypic data with recombination and the decay of LD with distance. Each data set was analyzed using the default values (100 main iterations, 1 thinning interval, 100 burn-in) followed by 1,000 main iterations and 1,000 burn-in ( $\times$ 10 option) for the final iteration. Analysis was performed three times, and all pair probabilities were 1.00 for both loci.

We used FSTAT 2.9.3 (Goudet 1995) to test both introns for deviation from the Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium and for evidence of linkage disequilibrium between loci. We calculated haplotype (h) and nucleotide diversity ( $\pi$ ) using ARLEQUIN 3.01 (Excoffier et al. 2005). We calculated unrooted networks using the software NET-WORK 4.2.0.1 (Fluxus Technology).

## Statistical analysis: microsatellites

Number of alleles (A) and observed (H<sub>O</sub>) and expected  $(H_E)$  heterozygosity were calculated using GENALEX 6 (Peakall and Smouse 2006). We also calculated allelic richness (Rs; El Mousadik and Petit 1996) and the inbreeding coefficient ( $F_{IS}$ ) using FSTAT 2.9.3. We tested each locus in each population for deviation from the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium and tested each pair of loci in each population for linkage disequilibrium using GENE-POP 1.2 (Raymond and Rousset 1995). We performed Hardy's test (Hardy et al. 2003) using SPAGEDI 1.2g (Hardy and Vekemans 2002) to evaluate which of the two measures of population differentiation (FST or RST) was more appropriate to use with our dataset. As part of Hardy's test, allele size permutation is performed to calculate simulated R<sub>ST</sub> (pR<sub>ST</sub>). If observed R<sub>ST</sub> is larger than pR<sub>ST</sub>, mutation plays an important role in population differentiation and R<sub>ST</sub> should be used (Slatkin 1995). Otherwise drift is the main driving force of population and  $F_{ST}$  should be used (Hardy et al. 2003).  $R_{ST}$  and  $pR_{ST}$  were not significantly different in our data set (P > 0.10). We therefore used F<sub>ST</sub> for the analysis of population differentiation.

#### Genetic structure analysis

We analysed genetic structure at two levels: (1) coarse scale (east versus west), and (2) fine scale (within eastern Australia). For the coarse scale analysis, samples from eastern Australia were compared to samples from Western Australia. To avoid potential biases from over-representation of samples from either Lake Wendouree or Kangaroo Island within the eastern Australia sample, we randomly selected eight samples from each of these two populations, as this was the maximum number of sequences from any other population in eastern Australia. For the fine-scale analysis, we compared samples from Kangaroo Island to samples from Tasmania and to samples from mainland eastern Australia (including eight randomly selected samples from Lake Wendouree). Finally we compared samples from Lake Wendouree to samples from Kangaroo Island and the remainder of mainland eastern Australia. Samples from Tasmania were only used for analysis of mtDNA because of low sample size due to poor PCR amplification success of nuclear markers from old museum specimens.

The HKY model (Hasegawa et al. 1985) was identified as the best-fit model of nucleotide substitution for both mtDNA and nuclear introns in our dataset using MOD-ELTEST 3.7 (Posada and Crandall 1998). We thus calculated pairwise  $\Phi_{ST}$  values for mtDNA and introns using the closely related K80 (Kimura 1980) nucleotide substitution model in ARLEQUIN 3.01, as the HKY model is not available in this software. For both mtDNA and the introns, we also calculated  $F_{ST}$  based on the haplotype frequencies using ARLEQUIN 3.01. We estimated pairwise  $F_{ST}$  for the eight microsatellite loci combined using FSTAT 2.9.3. Significance values for all pairwise  $\Phi_{ST}$  and  $F_{ST}$  calculations were adjusted using sequential Bonferroni correction to avoid type I error (Holm 1979). Sample sizes varied between markers and populations. Uneven sample size can bias F<sub>ST</sub> leading to Type I error (Scribner et al. 2001). To test for biases in cases where sample size differed between populations and we found significant  $F_{ST}$  or  $\Phi_{ST}$ , we repeated the analysis using a random subsample of the largest population of equal sample size to that of the other population. This procedure was repeated ten times for each population/locus combination. To determine the level of divergence between eastern and western Australia, we calculated the net mitochondrial average pairwise distance (D<sub>A</sub>) between eastern and western Australia using ARLE-QUIN 3.01.

#### Intra-population analysis within eastern Australia

To investigate the potential impacts of inbreeding and small population size on Kangaroo Island and Lake Wendouree (the populations with the two largest sample sizes in the southeast), we estimated theta from mtDNA haplotypes and both average pairwise relatedness and effective population size ( $N_e$ ) using microsatellite data. Theta was calculated using DnaSp 5.10 (Librado and Rozas 2009). We calculated averaged pairwise relatedness (Queller and Goodnight 1989) and performed a permutation test (9999 permutations and 10000 bootstraps) using GENALEX 6. We estimated effective population size ( $N_e$ ) from microsatellite data using the Linkage Disequilibrium Method (Bartley et al. 1992) implemented in the software NeES-TIMATOR 1.3 (Peel et al. 2004). Finally, we tested for

evidence of a recent bottleneck in either population using BOTTLENECK (Piry et al. 1999).

# Results

## Mitochondrial sequences

We found 13 variable sites (all transitions) and a single base pair indel in 15 distinct haplotypes (Fig. 2a). Haplotype diversity (h) within populations varied between 0.250 and 0.692 and nucleotide diversity ( $\pi$ ) varied between 0.00103 and 0.00557 (Table 1). In both cases, the lowest diversity was observed in Tasmania and the highest in western Australia.

No mitochondrial haplotypes were shared between eastern and western Australia. The two lineages were separated by a single transition (Fig. 2a). Thus, there was substantial genetic structure between eastern and western Australia for mtDNA ( $\Phi_{ST} = 0.747$ ; Table 2). In contrast, no significant structure was detected within eastern Australia (Table 2). A low net average pairwise distance (D<sub>A</sub>) of 0.36% separated the eastern and western lineages.

#### Intron sequences

We found six variable sites and a single base pair indel in ODC1 and six variable sites in FGB. Seven alleles were inferred for ODC1 (Fig. 2b), and five alleles for FGB (Fig. 2c). Neither intron deviated from Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium, and no evidence of linkage disequilibrium between loci was detected when populations were analysed separately or pooled together. Haplotype diversity (h) varied between 0.611 in western Australia and 0.770 on Lake Wendouree and nucleotide diversity ( $\pi$ ) varied between 0.00415 on mainland eastern Australia and 0.00557 on Lake Wendouree for ODC1 (Table 1). For FGB, haplotype diversity (h) varied from 0.603 on Lake Wendouree to 0.723 in western Australia and nucleotide diversity ( $\pi$ ) varied from 0.00341 in mainland eastern Australia to 0.00434 on Kangaroo Island (Table 1).

Many haplotypes were shared between populations for both ODC1 (Fig. 2b) and FGB (Fig. 2c). Genetic structure was detected between eastern and western Australia ( $\Phi_{ST} = 0.193$ ;  $F_{ST} = 0.157$ ; Table 2) for ODC1. Random resampling yielded significant  $\Phi_{ST}$  (P < 0.007) in nine out of ten replicates. Within eastern Australia, no significant  $\Phi_{ST}$  values were observed, but significant pairwise  $F_{ST}$ values were observed between Lake Wendouree and both Kangaroo Island and mainland eastern Australia for ODC1 (Table 2). In both cases, random resampling yielded identical results. Although marginally significant  $\Phi_{ST}$  and  $F_{ST}$  were detected with FGB, no significant structure was



Fig. 2 Unrooted haplotype networks for a mtDNA, b ODC1 and c FGB sequences. *Open circles* represent samples from eastern Australia, *black circles* samples from Western Australia. *KI* Kangaroo

Island, *EA* mainland eastern Australia, *LW* Lake Wendouree, *TA* Tasmania, *WA* Western Australia. *Open squares* represent ancestral haplotypes that were not sampled

detected with FGB at any level of analysis after Bonferroni correction (Table 2).

## Microsatellite loci

None of the eight microsatellite loci deviated from Hardy– Weinberg equilibrium, and no evidence of linkage disequilibrium was observed. The average number of alleles per locus ranged from 7.0 in western Australia to 9.1 in Kangaroo Island, and the number of private alleles per population varied between 2 for mainland eastern Australia and 11 for Kangaroo Island (Table 3).

Using microsatellites, significant  $F_{ST}$  values were observed between eastern and western Australia ( $F_{ST} =$ 0.035, P = 0.001; Table 4). All random samples from eastern Australia also yielded significant  $F_{ST}$  (all P < 0.002) with western Australia. Within eastern Australia, we found significant pairwise  $F_{ST}$  between Lake Wendouree and both Kangaroo Island ( $F_{ST} = 0.050$ , P < 0.001; Table 4) and mainland eastern Australia ( $F_{ST} = 0.042$ , P < 0.001; Table 4). In both cases, subsampling did not change the result. Kangaroo Island also differed from mainland eastern Australia ( $F_{ST} = 0.018$ , P = 0.001; Table 4). Identical results were obtained with ten random samples to control for uneven sample size (all P < 0.02).

Intra-population analysis within eastern Australia

Theta per site (Theta-W) estimated from mtDNA was significantly larger for Kangaroo Island (0.00373; 95% C.I.: 0.00314–0.00434) than for Lake Wendouree (0.00203; 95% C.I.: 0.00152–0.00254). Similarly, the effective population size of the Kangaroo Island population

Table 1 Genetic diversity estimates for the mtDNA control region, ornithine decarboxylase (ODC1), and beta-fibrinogen (FGB) including sample size (N), number of haplotypes (H), number of private haplotypes (Pri), haplotype diversity ( $h \pm S.E.$ ), and nucleotide diversity ( $\pi \pm$  S.E.) for western Australia (WA), Kangaroo Island (KI), Tasmania (TAS), mainland eastern Australia (SE), and Lake Wendouree (LW)

Рор	Ν	Н	Pri	$h \pm S.E.$	π
Mitocho	ndrial	contro	l region	1	
WA	16	4	4	$0.692\pm0.018$	$0.00557 \pm 0.00102$
KI	47	7	4	$0.537\pm0.010$	$0.00388 \pm 0.00044$
TAS	8	2	0	$0.250\pm0.064$	$0.00103 \pm 0.00052$
SE	49	6	4	$0.419\pm0.012$	$0.00311 \pm 0.00037$
LW	33	2	0	$0.409 \pm 0.013$	$0.00338 \pm 0.00048$
ODC1					
WA	14	5	1	$0.611 \pm 0.018$	$0.00556 \pm 0.00067$
KI	46	6	1	$0.728\pm0.003$	$0.00489 \pm 0.00033$
SE	25	5	0	$0.668\pm0.008$	$0.00415 \pm 0.00040$
LW	34	5	0	$0.770 \pm 0.003$	$0.00557 \pm 0.00043$
TAS	1	2	0	_	_
FBG					
WA	13	5	0	$0.723 \pm 0.011$	$0.00381 \pm 0.00050$
KI	43	5	0	$0.655 \pm 0.003$	$0.00434 \pm 0.00030$
SE	12	3	0	$0.627\pm0.010$	$0.00341 \pm 0.00048$
LW	34	4	0	$0.603 \pm 0.005$	$0.00382 \pm 0.00030$
TAS	2	2	0	_	_

(118.7; 95% C.I.: 73.0-279.0) was larger than that of the Lake Wendouree population (31.2; 95% C.I.: 24.6-41.1). Furthermore, the Lake Wendouree population had a larger 2111

inbreeding coefficient (F<sub>IS</sub>; 0.018) than did Kangaroo Island (-0.055), average pairwise relatedness was higher in Lake Wendouree than on Kangaroo Island (0.053 vs. 0.014; permutation test: P < 0.05), and in all but one microsatellite locus, heterozygosity was equal or larger in the Kangaroo Island population compared to Lake Wendouree. We found no evidence of a recent bottleneck in either the Kangaroo Island or Lake Wendouree population.

# Discussion

## Genetic diversity

We observed low mtDNA genetic diversity (h = 0.25-0.69,  $\pi = 0.0010 - 0.0056$ ) in Musk Ducks as compared to two other species of Australian waterfowl, Grey Teal (Anas gracilis; h = 0.99,  $\pi = 0.014$ ) and Chestnut Teal (Anas *castanea*; h = 0.97,  $\pi = 0.013$ ; Joseph et al. 2009). This suggests recent population decline or long-term low effective population size for Musk Ducks possibly exacerbated by their highly polygynous lek mating system (Johnsgard and Carbonell 1996). Although our microsatellite data do not suggest a recent bottleneck event, Musk Ducks were previously more widely distributed (Worthy 2002). Alternatively, the low genetic diversity of Musk Duck may be due to their relatively smaller population size. At an estimated 20,000-50,000 (Wetlands International 2006), the global population of Musk Ducks is much lower than the estimate of >1 million for Grey Teal or 105,000 for Chestnut Teal (Wetlands International 2006).

<b>Table 2</b> Pairwise $\Phi_{ST}$ values and $F_{ST}$ values for mtDNA and	Pairwise comparison	$\Phi_{\rm ST}$	F <sub>ST</sub>		
introns (P-values in parenthesis)	Mitochondrial DNA control region (mtDNA)				
	Eastern versus western Australia	0.747 (<0.001)	0.509 (<0.001)		
	Tasmania versus mainland eastern Australia	-0.042 (0.769)	-0.013 (0.487)		
	Tasmania versus Kangaroo Island	0.047 (0.219)	0.057 (0.183)		
	Kangaroo Island versus mainland eastern Australia	0.046 (0.036)	0.025 (0.092)		
	Kangaroo Island versus Lake Wendouree	-0.025 (0.980)	-0.015 (0.639)		
	Lake Wendouree versus mainland eastern Australia	0.041 (0.068)	0.008 (0.253)		
	Ornithine decarboxylase (ODC1)				
	Eastern versus western Australia	0.193 (<0.001)	0.157 (0.002)		
	Kangaroo Island versus mainland eastern Australia	-0.001 (0.406)	-0.004 (0.611)		
	Kangaroo Island versus Lake Wendouree	0.019 (0.078)	0.057 (0.002)		
	Lake Wendouree versus mainland eastern Australia	0.022 (0.095)	0.091 (0.003)		
	Beta-fibrinogen (FBG)				
	Eastern versus western Australia	-0.002 (0.373)	0.057 (0.027)		
	Kangaroo Island versus mainland eastern Australia	0.035 (0.037)	0.041 (0.018)		
Bold text indicates a significant	Kangaroo Island versus Lake Wendouree	0.046 (0.018)	0.040 (0.118)		
comparison after Bonferroni correction ( $\alpha = 0.0125$ )	Lake Wendouree versus mainland eastern Australia	0.081 (0.030)	0.030 (0.039)		

Locus

Populations

All

Mean

Table 3 continued

Locus	Populatio	All	Mean			
	WA	KI	SE	LW		
Blm11						
Ν	12	39	12	34	97	
А	7	9	7	6	9	7.3
Rs	6.601	6.612	6.496	4.670	6.418	
Ho	0.75	0.90	0.83	0.71		
$H_{\rm E}$	0.72	0.79	0.75	0.63		
F <sub>IS</sub>	-0.038	-0.132	-0.116	-0.124		
Blm12						
Ν	12	38	12	34	96	
А	6	7	6	9	10	7.0
Rs	5.954	5.253	5.663	6.689	6.710	
Ho	0.83	0.71	0.75	0.88		
$H_{\rm E}$	0.80	0.73	0.74	0.82		
F <sub>IS</sub>	-0.048	0.021	-0.014	-0.083		
N <sub>A</sub>	7.0	9.1	7.5	7.7		
$P_{VA}$	4	11	2	6		
F <sub>IS</sub> All	-0.009	-0.055	-0.017	0.018		

 Table 4
 Pairwise F<sub>ST</sub> for microsatellite loci (P-values in parenthesis)

Pairwise comparison	F <sub>ST</sub>
Eastern versus western Australia	0.035 (0.001)
Kangaroo Island versus mainland eastern Australia	0.018 (0.001)
Kangaroo Island versus Lake Wendouree	0.050 (<0.001)
Lake Wendouree versus mainland eastern Australia	0.042 (<0.001)

Bold text indicates a significant comparison after Bonferroni correction ( $\alpha = 0.0125$ )

# Genetic structure

We found no shared mtDNA haplotypes and substantial genetic structure between eastern and western Australia. The  $\Phi_{ST}$  for the 5' end of the mtDNA control region of Musk Ducks was greater than that observed using the same marker between eastern and western populations of North American Wood Ducks (Aix sponsa;  $\Phi_{ST}$ : 0.31; Peters et al. 2005) or between North American and Eurasian Mallards (Anas platyrhynchos  $\Phi_{ST}$ : 0.41–0.50; Kulikova et al. 2005). In contrast, haplotype sharing was extensive between eastern and western Australia for both ODC1 and FGB. While ODC1 displayed significant genetic structure, FGB did not. Within eastern Australia, no significant  $\Phi_{ST}$  values were detected between any two populations for ODC1, but significant pairwise FST was detected for both ODC1 and microsatellites between Lake Wendouree and both Kangaroo Island and mainland eastern Australia. This suggests that

	WA	KI	SE	LW		
Blm2						
Ν	13	39	12	34	98	
А	7	11	8	9	15	8.8
Rs	6.490	7.076	7.725	7.850	8.342	
Ho	0.85	0.87	0.83	0.82		
$H_{\rm E}$	0.79	0.80	0.82	0.87		
F <sub>IS</sub>	-0.075	-0.091	-0.021	0.052		
Blm3						
Ν	11	39	10	34	94	
А	6	8	6	7	8	6.8
Rs	5.723	6.342	6.000	5.450	6.266	
Ho	0.64	0.85	0.80	0.71		
H <sub>E</sub>	0.66	0.81	0.79	0.79		
FIS	0.038	-0.045	-0.019	0.105		
Blm4						
Ν	12	36	12	34	94	
А	8	12	10	12	16	10.5
Rs	7.639	8.539	9.266	9.025	9.599	
Ho	0.75	0.92	1.00	0.88		
H <sub>E</sub>	0.83	0.87	0.85	0.88		
FIS	0.096	-0.053	-0.176	0.000		
Blm5						
Ν	12	39	12	34	97	
А	8	8	7	7	11	7.5
Rs	7.599	6.074	6.665	5.846	6.839	
Ho	0.83	0.82	0.92	0.82		
H <sub>F</sub>	0.79	0.78	0.82	0.79		
Fis	-0.053	-0.057	-0.119	-0.038		
Blm7						
Ν	13	39	12	34	98	
А	6	8	6	4	10	6.0
Rs	5.308	5.452	5.333	3.160	4.782	
Ho	0.85	0.77	0.67	0.35		
H <sub>E</sub>	0.69	0.66	0.64	0.41		
Fis	-0.222	-0.169	-0.038	0.135		
Blm9						
N	13	39	12	34	98	
A	8	10	10	8	11	9.0
Rs	7.615	8,210	9,284	6.580	8,289	2.0
Но	0.92	0.90	0.83	0.79		
H <sub>E</sub>	0.82	0.87	0.85	0.81		
т. Е	_0.122	_0.037	0.016	0.014		

genetic drift rather than mutation may be responsible for differentiation within eastern Australia. Our results yielded no evidence of mtDNA gene flow across the Nullarbor Plain. Although our sampling in Western Australia was limited, the sampling in eastern Australia was extensive and presumably sufficient to detect moderately low levels of shared mtDNA haplotypes if introgression had occurred west to east.

In contrast to the mtDNA, the nuclear introns showed numerous shared alleles. Similar results are evident for other waterfowl species (e.g. Sonsthagen et al. 2009). Such contrasts between mitochondrial and nuclear markers may result from high female philopatry and/or incomplete lineage sorting (e.g. Funk and Omland 2003). Female Musk Ducks may exhibit higher natal site fidelity as male Musk Ducks are more often sighted at sea (McCracken 1999). While we cannot rule out gene flow across the Nullarbor mediated by males, east-west movement is likely to be limited because we found significant, albeit small, F<sub>ST</sub> values using microsatellite markers. Alternatively, the lack of differentiation in nuclear introns may be the result of incomplete lineage sorting. Because its effective population size is four times larger, nuclear DNA requires longer to sort to reciprocal monophyly (Moore 1995; Palumbi et al. 2001). Thus, although eastern and western Musk Duck populations have been isolated for an extended period of time, and have attained reciprocally monophyletic mtDNA, they likely have not been isolated long enough to have reciprocally monophyletic nuclear DNA at these loci.

Possible causes of genetic differentiation at Lake Wendouree

The Kangaroo Island and Lake Wendouree populations differ markedly in their ecology. For example, the Kangaroo Island population exhibited seasonal movements (McCracken 1999), whereas the Lake Wendouree population, prior to the lake drying out in 2005, was sedentary (Thomas and Wheeler 1983). This difference may have influenced the genetic structure of these two populations. Compared to Kangaroo Island, the Lake Wendouree population had larger F<sub>IS</sub>, larger average pairwise relatedness, lower theta and effective population size and lower heterozygosity. This suggests that the Lake Wendouree population was both smaller and more inbred than was the Kangaroo Island population. Although immigration to Lake Wendouree was probably taking place, our sample may have been biased toward resident birds, which were easy to capture because they were habituated to take food from humans (Biro and Dingemase 2009). Since genetic drift occurs more rapidly in small populations (Nei and Takahata 1993), the genetic differentiation we observed between Lake Wendouree and the rest of eastern Australia may thus have been the result of drift resulting from small population size and inbreeding.

#### Timing of isolation

The average mtDNA pairwise distance between the eastern and western Australia populations was low at 0.36%. Based on a rate of divergence of 9.7% per million years for the 5' end of the mtDNA control region in ducks (Peters et al. 2005), divergence between the two lineages is recent and likely dates to the late Pleistocene. This is considerably more recent than the late Pliocene aridification of the Nullarbor that has been suggested to have led to the initial differentiation of the southern Australian avifauna (Cracraft 1986). In Australia, the Pleistocene was characterised by major fluctuations in precipitation regime (Ayliffe et al. 1998). The availability of surface water during periods of increased precipitation could have allowed wetland connectivity through the arid interior and favoured east-west dispersal of Musk Ducks. Musk Ducks are known to disperse long distances to colonise ephemeral wetlands in the arid zone (Frith 1967; Brooker et al. 1979; Marchant and Higgins 1990; Todd 1997). The last wet period occurred between 55 and 35 kyr ago and was characterised by lower temperature and higher lake levels in the semi-arid region of southeastern Australia (Bowler et al. 1986; Bowler and Teller 1986; Nanson et al. 1992; Miller et al. 1997). The amount of surface water may have been higher during these wet periods and thus perhaps connectivity was increased at that time. Since the end of the last wet period, 35 kyr bp, the Nullarbor has been drier, restricting movement between isolated populations that are now differentiated.

The Nullarbor is a well defined isolating barrier (Cracraft 1986; Ford 1987). It was formed in the mid-Miocene (11–15 my bp) when the sea retreated (Wasson 1982). It is characterised by mallee and shrub vegetation and thus constitutes a strong geographical dispersal barrier for mesic species of southeastern and southwestern Australia (Ford 1971; Specht 1981). Similarly to our results, phylogeographic work on Australian magpies (*Gymnorhina tibicen*; Toon et al. 2007) and Southern Emu-wrens (*Stipiturus malachurus*; Donnellan et al. 2009) revealed divergent monophyletic lineages on either sides of the Nullarbor. This pattern is not limited to birds and has also been observed in other vertebrates (Spencer et al. 2001; Chapple et al. 2004; Keogh et al. 2005).

## Conservation implications

The taxonomic level (i.e. species, subspecies, population) to target for conservation efforts is often debated. Moritz (1994) advocated independent management of monophyletic populations, whereas others have suggested that even in the absence of genetic differentiation, ecologically distinct populations should be preserved (Crandall et al. 2000). Although their mtDNA lineages are not highly differentiated, our data demonstrate that the eastern and western mtDNA haplotype groups are monophyletic, and other studies have demonstrated that eastern and western populations differ in display behaviour (Robinson and Robinson 1970; McCracken et al. 2002). Accordingly, the two Musk Duck populations satisfy both criteria of evolutionarily significant units. Our data are also consistent with Mathews' (1914, 1927) split of Musk Ducks into eastern (*B. l. menziesi*) and western (*B. l. lobata*) subspecies.

Musk Duck populations appear stable in Western Australia (Saunders and Ingram 1995) and Tasmania (S. Blackhall, unpublished data), but they have decreased in mainland eastern Australia (e.g. Parker et al. 1985; Davey 1989; Paton et al. 1994), where they are now listed as vulnerable in Victoria (Victorian Department of Sustainability and Environment 2007) and rare in South Australia (Robinson et al. 2000). This decrease corresponds with habitat loss through decreased rainfall and wetland drainage. About one-third of Victoria's wetlands have been drained since European settlement (Anonymous 1988b) and 60% of wetlands in coastal New South Wales have also been lost (Goodrick 1970). Musk Ducks may also be threatened by the introduction of the European carp (Cyprinus carpio), with whom they compete for food, in river systems (Paton et al. 1994; McCracken 2005). A management plan for protection of Musk Ducks has not been completed to date, probably because they appear stable in Western Australia (Saunders and Ingram 1995). Our results indicate that the eastern and western Musk Duck populations are genetically distinct, and

Table 5 Localities for the specimens used in this study

that wildlife agencies should consider managing them separately.

Acknowledgements Our research was supported by funding from the Department of Zoology and the Faculty of Science of the University of Melbourne, le Fonds québécois de la recherche sur la nature et les technologies, the Hermon Slade Foundation, the Holsworth Wildlife Research Endowment, Birds Australia, the M.A. Ingram Trust, the Norman Wettenhall Foundation, Louisiana State University Agricultural Center, College of Agriculture, Cooperative Fish and Wildlife Research Unit, Board of Regents, Museum of Natural Science, and School of Renewable Natural Resources. We thank the South Australia Department of Environment and Heritage and Wildlife personnel for their assistance with fieldwork and the following institutions for allowing access to their tissue collections and/ or for allowing us to take samples from study skins and/or skeletons: The Australian Museum, the Bourne Bird Museum, CSIRO Sustainable Ecosystems-Australian National Wildlife Collection, the Department of Ornithology of the American Museum of Natural History, Museum Victoria, the Queen Victoria Museum and Art Gallery, the Queensland Museum, the South Australian Museum, the Tasmanian Museum, the University of Alaska Museum and the Western Australian Museum. J. Van Remsen and S. Taylor provided helpful comments on an earlier version of the manuscript. Blood samples were collected under the University of Melbourne Faculty of Science Animal Experimentation and Ethics Committee Register 02088, 04064, 05122, 06186, permits 10002458, 10003407, and 10004007, from the Department of Sustainability and Environment, Victoria and permits SF005117, SF005760, SF006080 and SF006659 from the Department of Environment and Conservation Western Australia. The use of trade, product, industry or firm names or products is for informative purposes only and does not constitute an endorsement by the U.S. Government or the U.S. Geological Survey.

## Appendix

See Table 5.

Catalogue number <sup>a</sup> (Field number) <i>Band number</i>	Sex	Date	Locality
Western Australia			
ANWC 50286	М	31 May 2004	Lake Carabundup, NW of Mt Barker, WA, 34°28'S, 117°18'E
ANWC 50287	М	31 May 2004	Lake Carabundup, NW of Mt Barker, WA, 34°28'S, 117°18'E
ANWC 50288	F	31 May 2004	Lake Carabundup, NW of Mt Barker, WA, 34°28'S, 117°18'E
ANWC 50289	F	31 May 2004	Lake Carabundup, NW of Mt Barker, WA, 34°28'S, 117°18'E
ANWC 50384	М	7 June 2004	Lake Namming, c. 15 km S of Cataby, WA, 30°54'S, 115°35'E
ANWC 50385	М	7 June 2004	Lake Namming, c. 15 km S of Cataby, WA, 30°54'S, 115°35'E
UAM 11882	М	19 November 2000	Coolgardie, WA, 33°27'S, 121°44'E
UAM 15016	М	20 November 2000	Warden Lake, WA, 33°49'S, 121°53'E
UAM 22312	М	21 November 2000	Warden Lake, WA, 33°49'S, 121°53'E
WAM A18748	F	14 January 1940	Torbay, WA, 35°02'S, 117°38'E
WAM A4333	М	29 March 1933	Harvery Estuary, WA, 32°42'S, 115°41'E
WAM A7419	М	1 June 1954	Floreat Park, WA, 31°56'S, 115°47'E
WAM A36076	М	4 October 2005	Cracker Swamp, Coldat, WA, 30°54'S, 115°35'E
WAM A36077	М	4 October 2005	Cracker Swamp, Coldat, WA, 30°54'S, 115°35'E

## Table 5 continued

Catalogue number <sup>a</sup> (Field number) <i>Band number</i>	Sex	Date	Locality
(PJG 290) 132-20301	М	1 October 2005	Lake Joondalup, Perth, WA, 31°45'S, 115°47'E
(PJG 294) 132-20302	М	1 October 2005	Lake Joondalup, Perth, WA, 31°45'S, 115°47'E
Kangaroo Island			
LSUMZ B34096 131-88701	М	11 September 1995	Murray Lagoon, Kangaroo Island, SA, 35°55'S, 137°24'E
LSUMZ B34097 131-88702	М	11 September 1995	Murray Lagoon, Kangaroo Island, SA, 35°55'S, 137°24'E
LSUMZ B34098 131-88703	М	11 September 1995	Murray Lagoon, Kangaroo Island, SA, 35°55'S, 137°24'E
LSUMZ B34099 131-88704	F	12 September 1995	Murray Lagoon, Kangaroo Island, SA, 35°55'S, 137°24'E
LSUMZ B34100 131-88705	F	12 September 1995	Murray Lagoon, Kangaroo Island, SA, 35°55'S, 137°24'E
LSUMZ B34101 131-88706	F	12 September 1995	Murray Lagoon, Kangaroo Island, SA, 35°55'S, 137°24'E
LSUMZ B34102 131-88707	F	15 September 1995	Murray Lagoon, Kangaroo Island, SA, 35°55'S, 137°24'E
LSUMZ B34103 131-88708	М	15 September 1995	Murray Lagoon, Kangaroo Island, SA, 35°55'S, 137°24'E
LSUMZ B34104 131-88709	F	15 September 1995	Murray Lagoon, Kangaroo Island, SA, 35°55'S, 137°24'E
LSUMZ B34105 131-88710	F	15 September 1995	Murray Lagoon, Kangaroo Island, SA, 35°55'S, 137°24'E
LSUMZ B34106 131-88711	М	16 September 1995	Murray Lagoon, Kangaroo Island, SA, 35°55'S, 137°24'E
LSUMZ B34107 131-88712	М	16 September 1995	Murray Lagoon, Kangaroo Island, SA, 35°55'S, 137°24'E
LSUMZ B34108 131-88713	М	22 September 1995	Murray Lagoon, Kangaroo Island, SA, 35°55'S, 137°24'E
LSUMZ B34109 131-88714	F	23 September 1995	Murray Lagoon, Kangaroo Island, SA, 35°55'S, 137°24'E
LSUMZ B34110 131-88715	F	23 September 1995	Murray Lagoon, Kangaroo Island, SA, 35°55'S, 137°24'E
LSUMZ B34111 131-88716	М	25 September 1995	Murray Lagoon, Kangaroo Island, SA, 35°55'S, 137°24'E
LSUMZ B34112 131-88717	М	25 September 1995	Murray Lagoon, Kangaroo Island, SA, 35°55'S, 137°24'E
LSUMZ B34113 131-88718	М	25 September 1995	Murray Lagoon, Kangaroo Island, SA, 35°55'S, 137°24'E
LSUMZ B34114 131-88719	М	26 September 1995	Murray Lagoon, Kangaroo Island, SA, 35°55'S, 137°24'E
LSUMZ B34115 131-88720	М	26 September 1995	Murray Lagoon, Kangaroo Island, SA, 35°55'S, 137°24'E
LSUMZ B34764 131-88721	М	26 September 1995	Murray Lagoon, Kangaroo Island, SA, 35°55'S, 137°24'E
LSUMZ B34765 131-88722	F	26 September 1995	Murray Lagoon, Kangaroo Island, SA, 35°55'S, 137°24'E
LSUMZ B34766 131-88723	F	26 September 1995	Murray Lagoon, Kangaroo Island, SA, 35°55'S, 137°24'E
LSUMZ B34767 131-88724	М	27 September 1995	Murray Lagoon, Kangaroo Island, SA, 35°55'S, 137°24'E
LSUMZ B34768 131-88725	М	27 September 1995	Murray Lagoon, Kangaroo Island, SA, 35°55'S, 137°24'E
LSUMZ B34769 121-41101	F	23 October 1995	Murray Lagoon, Kangaroo Island, SA, 35°55'S, 137°24'E
LSUMZ B34770 121-41102	F	24 October 1995	Murray Lagoon, Kangaroo Island, SA, 35°55'S, 137°24'E
LSUMZ B34771 131-88727	М	25 October 1995	Murray Lagoon, Kangaroo Island, SA, 35°55'S, 137°24'E
LSUMZ B34772 131-88728	М	27 October 1995	Murray Lagoon, Kangaroo Island, SA, 35°55'S, 137°24'E
LSUMZ B34773 131-88729	М	4 November 1995	Murray Lagoon, Kangaroo Island, SA, 35°55'S, 137°24'E
LSUMZ B34774 121-41103	F	8 November 1995	Murray Lagoon, Kangaroo Island, SA, 35°55'S, 137°24'E
LSUMZ B34775 121-41104	F	10 November 1995	Murray Lagoon, Kangaroo Island, SA, 35°55'S, 137°24'E
LSUMZ B34776 121-41105	F	11 November 1995	Murray Lagoon, Kangaroo Island, SA, 35°55'S, 137°24'E
LSUMZ B34777 121-41106	F	27 September 1996	Murray Lagoon, Kangaroo Island, SA, 35°55'S, 137°24'E
LSUMZ B34778 131-88730	М	28 September 1996	Murray Lagoon, Kangaroo Island, SA, 35°55'S, 137°24'E
LSUMZ B34779 131-88731	М	18 October 1996	Murray Lagoon, Kangaroo Island, SA, 35°55'S, 137°24'E
LSUMZ B34780 131-88732	М	18 October 1996	Murray Lagoon, Kangaroo Island, SA, 35°55'S, 137°24'E
LSUMZ B34781 131-88733	М	20 October 1996	Murray Lagoon, Kangaroo Island, SA, 35°55'S, 137°24'E
LSUMZ B34782 131-88734	М	21 October 1996	Murray Lagoon, Kangaroo Island, SA, 35°55'S, 137°24'E
LSUMZ B34783 131-88735	М	5 October 1997	Murray Lagoon, Kangaroo Island, SA, 35°55′S, 137°24′E
LSUMZ B34784 131-88736	М	12 October 1997	Murray Lagoon, Kangaroo Island, SA, 35°55′S, 137°24′E
LSUMZ B34785 121-41108	F	14 October 1997	Murray Lagoon, Kangaroo Island, SA, 35°55′S, 137°24′E
LSUMZ B34786 131-88737	М	15 October 1997	Murray Lagoon, Kangaroo Island, SA, 35°55′S, 137°24′E
LSUMZ B34787 131-88738	М	17 October 1997	Murray Lagoon, Kangaroo Island, SA, 35°55'S, 137°24'E

# Table 5 continued

Catalogue number <sup>a</sup> (Field number) <i>Band number</i>	Sex	Date	Locality
LSUMZ B34788 131-88739	М	18 October 1997	Murray Lagoon, Kangaroo Island, SA, 35°55'S, 137°24'E
LSUMZ B34789 131-88740	М	19 October 1997	Murray Lagoon, Kangaroo Island, SA, 35°55'S, 137°24'E
SAMA B46336	U	18 September 1990	Nepean Bay, Kangaroo Island, SA, 36°39'S, 137°42'E
Tasmania			
AMNH 734151	М	November 1914	Colebrook, TAS, 42°32'S, 147°21'E
ANWC 47623	F	11 March 1989	Hobart, TAS, 42°52'S, 147°19'E
QVM:1963/2/28	F	17 April 1961	Moulting Lagoon, TAS, 42°02'S, 148°10'E
QVM:1969/2/7	F	1 March 1969	Flinders Island, TAS, 39°51′S, 147°54′E
QVM:1969/2/8	М	9 March 1969	Needles, TAS, 41°32'S, 146°33'E
QVM:1989/2/102	М	16 September 1988	Painted Post, Greens Beach, TAS, 41°05'S, 146°44'E
TMH B2080	F	1800s	Richmond, TAS, 42°44′S, 147°26′E
TMH B3360	F	7 March 1971	Sanford Lagoon, TAS, 42°56'S, 147°29'E
Mainland South East			
AMS 0.42017	М	1 October 1962	Keepit Dam, Tamworth, NSW, 30°52'S, 150°30'E
AMS 0.45232	F	13 December 1974	Barren Box Swamp, Griffith, NSW, 34°09'S, 145°49'E
AMS 0.45233	М	13 December 1974	Barren Box Swamp, Griffith, NSW, 34°09'S, 145°49'E
AMS 0 45465	F	30 August 1975	Myall Lakes, NSW, $32^{\circ}25'S$ , $152^{\circ}22'E$
AMS \$ 720	M	4 September 1899	Sydney, NSW, 33°46'S, 150°46'E
ANWC 10793	F	24 September 1964	Barren Box Swamp, Griffith, NSW, 34°09'S, 145°49'E
ANWC 15647	M	28 August 1964	Barren Box Swamp, Griffith NSW 34°09'S 145°49'E
ANWC 15648	F	29 August 1964	Barren Box Swamp, Griffith NSW 34°09'S 145°49'E
ANWC 15654	F	No collection date	Barren Box Swamp, Griffith NSW 34°09'S 145°49'E
ANWC 22655	M	6 June 1905	Lake Cowal NSW $33^{\circ}30'S$ $147^{\circ}22'E$
ANWC 22658	F	12 June 1905	Stranger Pond Bonython ACT 35°26'S 140°05'E
ANWC 50091	M	12 Julie 1905	Deadmans Creek E of Mathoura NSW 35°50'S 144°56'E
ANWC 50091	F	10 February 2004	Duck Lagoon Moira State Forest NSW 35°52'S 144°52'E
ANWC 50180	Г Б	26 March 2004	Mullewoolke Besin Tonge Station NSW 20°20'S 142°47'E
PPM 1	Г Б	1 Eabruary 1081	Pool Lagoon SA 27°07/S 140°41/E
	M	22 Echrupry 1981	Bool Lagoon, SA, 37 07 S, 140 41 E
DDIVI 2 DDM 2	M	23 February 1997	Bool Lagoon, SA, 37 07 S, 140 41 E
BBM 3	NI M	23 February 1997	Bool Lagoon, SA, $57'07$ S, 140'41 E Kine's Dillahang Mildum VIC $24814/8 = 142812/E$
MV B.5102	IVI I	11 July 1951	King's Binabong, Mindura, VIC, 34-14-S, 142-13-E
MV B.5188	U	No collection date	Middle Park Beach, Port Phillip Bay, VIC, $57^{\circ}51^{\circ}51^{\circ}51^{\circ}51^{\circ}E$
MV B.7730	U	17 October 1961	Kerang, VIC, $55^{\circ}45^{\circ}5$ , $145^{\circ}55^{\circ}E$
MV B.7739	U	17 October 1961	Kerang, VIC, 35°43′S, 143°55′E
MV B.7742	U	17 October 1961	Kerang, VIC, 35°43'S, 143°55'E
MV B.7743	U	17 October 1961	Kerang, VIC, 35°43'S, 143°55'E
MV B.//44	U	17 October 1961	Kerang, VIC, 35°43′S, 143°55′E
MV B.//46	U	17 October 1961	Kerang, VIC, 35°43'S, 143°55'E
MV B.7747	U	17 October 1961	Kerang, VIC, 35°43′S, 143°55′E
MV B.7748	U	17 October 1961	Kerang, VIC, 35°43'S, 143°55'E
MV B.7749	U	17 October 1961	Kerang, VIC, 35°43′S, 143°55′E
MV B.9078	М	6 October 1967	Little Ranker Ck, Keera Stn, VIC, 34°16'S, 141°43'E
MV B.13775	М	2 March 1985	Kerang, VIC, 35°43'S, 143°55'E
MV B.18358	М	19 March 1988	Kerang, VIC, 35°43'S, 143°55'E
MV B.19134	М	14 March 1987	Lake Martin, VIC, 38°05'S, 143°36'E
MV B.19198	М	12 November 1986	Beechworth, VIC, 36°21'S, 146°41'E
MV B.19227	F	14 March 1987	Lake Martin, VIC, 38°05'S, 143°36'E

Table 5 continued

Catalogue number <sup>a</sup> (Field number) <i>Band number</i>	Sex	Date	Locality
MV B.19229	F	12 November 1986	Beechworth, VIC, 36°21'S, 146°41'E
MV B.19288	М	14 March 1987	Lake Martin, VIC, 38°05′S, 143°36′E
MV B.25089	М	14 March 1987	Lake Murdeduke, VIC, 38°10'S, 143°54'E
MV B.31571	F	26 April 1993	Lake Bael Bael, VIC, 35°31′S, 143°44′E
MV MV785	U	19 March 1989	Kerang, VIC, 35°43'S, 143°55'E
MV W15085	М	No collection date	Lake Purrumbete, near Colac, VIC, 38°16'S, 143°13'E
QM 666	М	No collection date	Kalbar, QLD, 27°56'S, 152°37'E
QM 9335	F	16 June 1962	Lake McKenzie, Fraser Island, QLD, 25°27'S, 153°04E
SAMA B23004	М	1 December 1942	Tailem Bend, SA, 41°05'S, 146°44'E
SAMA B23005	F	1 December 1942	Tailem Bend, SA, 41°05'S, 146°44'E
SAMA B23710	F	17 April 1947	Tailem Bend, SA, 41°05'S, 146°44'E
SAMA B23883	М	13 March 1947	Lake Albert, SA, 35°37'S, 139°18'E
SAMA B38100	F	2 September 1979	Merbein, VIC, 34°10'S, 142°03'E
SAMA B48312	F	30 January 1984	Ulbana Reserve, Colwell, Eyre Peninsula, SA, 33°32'S, 136°57'E
(PJG 054)	U	21 March 2005	Kitty Miller Wetland, Philip Island, VIC, 38°30'S, 145°10'E
(PJG 231) 132-20261	М	5 September 2004	Western Treatment Plant, Werribee, VIC, 38°00'S, 144°34'E
(PJG254)	U	17 August 2005	Western Treatment Plant, Werribee, VIC, 38°00'S, 144°34'E
(PJG 308)	U	30 October 2005	Western Treatment Plant, Werribee, VIC, 38°00'S, 144°34'E
(PJG 321)	М	4 July 2005	Bool Lagoon, SA, 37°07'S, 140°41'E
(PJG 331)	М	28 December 2005	Western Treatment Plant, Werribee, VIC, 38°00'S, 144°34'E
(PJG 341)	М	16 June 1988	Port Augusta, SA, 32°29'S, 137°46'E
Lake Wendouree			
(PJG 200) 121-49551	F	1 September 2003	Lake Wendouree, Ballarat, VIC, 37°33'S, 143°50'E
(PJG 201) 121-49552	F	24 September 2003	Lake Wendouree, Ballarat, VIC, 37°33'S, 143°50'E
(PJG 202) 121-49553	F	10 September 2003	Lake Wendouree, Ballarat, VIC, 37°33'S, 143°50'E
(PJG 203) 121-49554	F	24 September 2003	Lake Wendouree, Ballarat, VIC, 37°33'S, 143°50'E
(PJG 204) 121-49555	F	14 September 2003	Lake Wendouree, Ballarat, VIC, 37°33'S, 143°50'E
(PJG 205) 121-49556	F	24 September 2003	Lake Wendouree, Ballarat, VIC, 37°33'S, 143°50'E
(PJG 206) 121-49557	F	12 September 2003	Lake Wendouree, Ballarat, VIC, 37°33'S, 143°50'E
(PJG 207) 121-49558	F	24 September 2003	Lake Wendouree, Ballarat, VIC, 37°33'S, 143°50'E
(PJG 208) 121-49559	F	20 September 2003	Lake Wendouree, Ballarat, VIC, 37°33'S, 143°50'E
(PJG 209) 121-49560	F	20 August 2003	Lake Wendouree, Ballarat, VIC, 37°33'S, 143°50'E
(PJG 210) 121-49561	F	3 December 2003	Lake Wendouree, Ballarat, VIC, 37°33'S, 143°50'E
(PJG 211) 121-49562	F	27 August 2004	Lake Wendouree, Ballarat, VIC, 37°33'S, 143°50'E
(PJG 212) 121-49563	F	4 December 2003	Lake Wendouree, Ballarat, VIC, 37°33'S, 143°50'E
(PJG 213) 121-49564	F	3 December 2003	Lake Wendouree, Ballarat, VIC, 37°33'S, 143°50'E
(PJG 214) 121-49565	F	3 December 2003	Lake Wendouree, Ballarat, VIC, 37°33'S, 143°50'E
(PJG 215) 121-49566	F	25 September 2003	Lake Wendouree, Ballarat, VIC, 37°33'S, 143°50'E
(PJG 216) 121-49567	F	14 October 2003	Lake Wendouree, Ballarat, VIC, 37°33'S, 143°50'E
(PJG 217) 121-49568	F	4 December 2003	Lake Wendouree, Ballarat, VIC, 37°33'S, 143°50'E
(PJG 218) 121-49569	F	16 October 2003	Lake Wendouree, Ballarat, VIC, 37°33'S, 143°50'E
(PJG 219) 121-49570	F	14 October 2003	Lake Wendouree, Ballarat, VIC, 37°33'S, 143°50'E
(PJG 220) 121-49571	F	7 December 2003	Lake Wendouree, Ballarat, VIC, 37°33'S, 143°50'E
(PJG 221) 132-20251	F	29 August 2003	Lake Wendouree, Ballarat, VIC, 37°33'S, 143°50'E
(PJG 222) 132-20252	М	29 August 2003	Lake Wendouree, Ballarat, VIC, 37°33'S, 143°50'E
(PJG 223) 132-20253	М	6 October 2003	Lake Wendouree, Ballarat, VIC, 37°33'S, 143°50'E
(PJG 224) 132-20254	F	11 September 2003	Lake Wendouree, Ballarat, VIC, 37°33'S. 143°50'E
		1	

#### Table 5 continued

Catalogue number <sup>a</sup> (Field number) <i>Band number</i>	Sex	Date	Locality
(PJG 225) 132-20255	М	13 September 2003	Lake Wendouree, Ballarat, VIC, 37°33'S, 143°50'E
(PJG 226) 132-20256	F	11 September 2003	Lake Wendouree, Ballarat, VIC, 37°33'S, 143°50'E
(PJG 227) 132-20257	М	31 August 2004	Lake Wendouree, Ballarat, VIC, 37°33'S, 143°50'E
(PJG 228) 132-20258	М	7 October 2003	Lake Wendouree, Ballarat, VIC, 37°33'S, 143°50'E
(PJG 229) 132-20259	F	11 September 2003	Lake Wendouree, Ballarat, VIC, 37°33'S, 143°50'E
(PJG 230) 132-20260	М	7 November 2003	Lake Wendouree, Ballarat, VIC, 37°33'S, 143°50'E
(PJG 232) 140-52811	М	1 September 2003	Lake Wendouree, Ballarat, VIC, 37°33'S, 143°50'E
(PJG 233) 140-52819	М	13 September 2003	Lake Wendouree, Ballarat, VIC, 37°33'S, 143°50'E
(PJG 234) 121-49572	F	4 November 2004	Lake Wendouree, Ballarat, VIC, 37°33'S, 143°50'E

<sup>a</sup> Catalogue number for vouchered specimens from the American Museum of Natural History (AMNH), the Australian Museum (AMS), CSIRO Sustainable Ecosystems, Australian National Wildlife Collection (ANWC), the Bourne's Bird Museum (BBM), Louisiana State University Museum of Natural History (LSUMZ), Museum Victoria (MV), the Queensland Museum (QM), the Queen Victoria Museum and Art Gallery (QVM), The South Australian Museum (SAMA), the Tasmanian Museum (TMH), the University of Alaska Museum (UAM) and the Western Australian Museum (WAM)

#### References

- Anonymous (1988a) Recovery round-up. Corella 12:64-67
- Anonymous (1988b) Wetlands conservation program for Victoria. Department of Conservation, Forests and Lands, Water Victoria and Ministry for Planning and Environment, Melbourne, Australia
- Anonymous (2000) Recovery round-up. Corella 24:51–52
- Ayliffe LK, Marianelli PC, Moriarty KC, Wells RT, McCulloch MT, Mortimer GE, Hellstrom JC (1998) 500 ka precipitation record from southeastern Australia: evidence for interglacial relative aridity. Geology 26:147–150
- Barrett G, Silcocks A, Barry S, Cunningham R, Poulter R (2003) The new atlas of Australian birds. Royal Australasian Ornithologists Union, Hawthorn East, Australia
- Bartley D, Bagley M, Gall G, Bentley B (1992) Use of linkage disequilibrium data to estimate effective size of hatchery and natural fish populations. Conserv Biol 6:365–375
- Baxter C (1989) An annotated list of the birds of Kangaroo Island. Angel Press, Kingscote, Australia
- Biro PA, Dingemase NJ (2009) Sampling bias resulting from animal personality. Trends Ecol Evol 24:66–67
- Boutin-Ganache I, Raposo M, Raymond M, Deschepper CF (2001) M13-tailed primers improve the readability and usability of microsatellite analyses performed with two different allelesizing methods. Biotechniques 31:24–27
- Bowler JM, Teller JT (1986) Quaternary evaporites and hydrological changes, Lake Tyrrell, northwest Victoria. Aust J Earth Sci 33:43–63
- Bowler JM, Huang Q, Chen KZ, Head MJ, Yuan BY (1986) Radiocarbon dating of Playa Lake hydrologic changes—examples from northwestern China and central Australia. Palaeogeogr Palaeoclimatol Palaeoecol 54:241–260
- Briggs S (1992) Movement patterns and breeding characteristics of arid zone ducks. Corella 16:15–22
- Brooker MG, Ridpath MG, Estbergs AJ, Bywater J, Hart DS, Jones MS (1979) Bird observations on the north-western Nullarbor Plain and neighbouring regions, 1967–1978. Emu 79:177–190
- Bruford MW, Hanotte O, Brookfield JFY, Burke T (1992) Single locus and multi-locus fingerprinting. In: Hoelzel AR (ed) Molecular genetics analysis of population—a molecular approach. IRL Press, Oxford, UK, pp 227–229

- Bryant S, Jackson J (1999) Tasmania threatened fauna handbook: what, where and when to protect Tasmania's threatened animals. Threatened species unit. Parks and Wildlife Service, Hobart, Australia
- Burbidge AA, Casperson KD, Fuller PJ (1987) Birds. In: McKenzie NL, Robinson AC (eds) A biological survey of the Nullarbor region south and western australia in 1984. D. J. Woolman Government Printer, Adelaide, Australia, pp 153–178
- Campbell AJ (1901) Nest and eggs of Australian birds, including the geographical distribution of the species and popular observation thereon. Pawson & Brailsford, Sheffield, Australia
- Chapple DG, Keogh JS, Hutchinson MN (2004) Molecular phylogeography and systematics of the arid-zone members of the *Egernia whitii* (Lacertilia: Scincidae) species group. Mol Phylogenet Evol 33:549–561
- Congreve D, Congreve P (1982) The beach census. Royal Australian Ornithologists Union Report 3:28–43
- Congreve D, Congreve P (1985) Wardens' report: Kanidal Beach counts. Royal Australian Ornithologists Union Report 9:50-65
- Cracraft J (1986) Origin and evolution of continental biotas speciation and historical congruence within the Australian avifauna. Evolution 40:977–996
- Crandall KA, Bininda-Emonds ORP, Mace GM, Wayne RK (2000) Considering evolutionary processes in conservation biology. Trends Ecol Evol 15:290–295
- Davey C (1989) A comment on declining numbers of Musk Duck in Canberra. Canberra Bird Notes 14:3–5
- Delacour J (1959) The waterfowl of the world, vol 3. Country Life Ltd., London, UK
- Donnellan SC, Armstrong J, Pickett M, Milne T, Baulderstone J, Hollfelder T, Bertozzi T (2009) Systematic and conservation implications of mitochondrial DNA diversity in emu-wrens, *Stipiturus* (Aves: Maluridae). Emu 109:143–152
- El Mousadik A, Petit RJ (1996) High level of genetic differentiation for allelic richness among populations of the argan tree Argania spinosa (L) Skeels endemic to Morocco. Theor Appl Genet 92:832–839
- Excoffier L, Laval G, Schneider S (2005) Arlequin ver. 3.0: An integrated software package for population genetics data analysis. Evolutionary Bioinformatics Online 1:47–50
- Ford J (1971) Distribution and taxonomy of southern birds in the Great Victorian Desert. Emu 71:27–36

- Ford J (1987) Minor isolates and minor geographical barriers in avian speciation in continental Australia. Emu 87:90–102
- Frith HJ (1957) Breeding and movement of wild ducks in inland New South Wales. C.S.I.R.O. Wildlife Research 2:19–31
- Frith HJ (1959) The ecology of wild ducks in inland New South Wales II. Movements. C.S.I.R.O. Movements. C.S.I.R.O. Wildlife Research 4:108–131
- Frith HJ (1962) Movement of the Grey Teal, Anas gibberifrons Muller (Anatidae). C.S.I.R.O. Wildlife Research 7:50–70
- Frith HJ (1967) Waterfowl in Australia. Angus and Robertson Ltd, Sydney, Australia
- Fullagar PJ, Carbonell M (1986) The display postures of the Musk Duck. Wildfowl 37:142-150
- Funk DJ, Omland KE (2003) Species-level paraphyly and polyphyly: Frequency, causes, and consequences, with insights from animal mitochondrial DNA. Annu Rev Ecol Evol Syst 34:397–423
- Goodrick GN (1970) A survey of wetlands of coastal New South Wales. C.S.I.R.O. Division of Wildlife Research Technical Memorandum 5
- Goudet J (1995) FSTAT (Version 1.2): a computer program to calculate F-statistics. J Hered 86:485–486
- Guay PJ (2007) A new record for the longest banding recovery distance in Musk Ducks *Biziura lobata*. Corella 31:13–14
- Guay PJ, Mulder RA (2005) Isolation and characterization of microsatellite markers in the Musk Duck (*Biziura lobata*: Aves) and their application to other waterfowl species. Mol Ecol Notes 5:249–252
- Guay PJ, Mulder RA (2007) Skewed paternity distribution in the extremely size dimorphic Musk Duck (*Biziura lobata*). Emu 107:190–195
- Hardy OJ, Vekemans X (2002) SPAGEDi: a versatile computer program to analyse spatial genetic structure at the individual or population levels. Mol Ecol Notes 2:618–620
- Hardy OJ, Charbonnel N, Freville H, Heuertz M (2003) Microsatellite allele sizes: A simple test to assess their significance on genetic differentiation. Genetics 163:1467–1482
- Hartert E (1931) Types of birds in the Tring Museum. D. Gregory M. Mathews's type of Australian birds. Novitates Zoologicae 37:35–52
- Hasegawa M, Kishino H, Yano T (1985) Dating the human-ape splitting by a molecular clock of mitochondrial DNA. J Mol Evol 21:160–174
- Holm S (1979) A simple sequentially rejective multiple test procedure. Scandinavian Journal of Statistic 6:65–70
- Johnsgard PA (1966) Behavior of the Australian Musk Duck and Blue-billed Duck. Auk 83:98–110
- Johnsgard PA, Carbonell M (1996) Ruddy Ducks and other stifftails: Their behavior and biology. University of Oklahoma Press, Norman, USA
- Johnson JA, Dunn PO (2006) Low genetic variation in the Heath Hen prior to extinction and implications for the conservation of Prairie-Chicken populations. Conserv Genet 7:37–48
- Joseph L, Adcock GJ, Linde C, Omland KE, Heinsohn R, Chesser RT, Roshier D (2009) A tangled tale of two teal: population history of the Grey *Anas gracilis* and Chestnut Teal *A. castanea* of Australia. J Avian Biol 40:430–439
- Keast A (1981) Ecological biogeography of Australia. Dr W. Junk BV Publishers, The Hague, Netherlands
- Keogh JS, Scott IAW, Hayes C (2005) Rapid and repeated origin of insular gigantism and dwarfism in Australian Tiger Snakes. Evolution 59:226–233
- Kimura M (1980) A simple method for estimating evolutionary rate of base substitution through comparative studies of nucleotide sequences. J Mol Evol 16:111–120
- Kulikova IV, Drovetski SV, Gibson DD, Harrigan RJ, Rohwer S, Sorenson MD, Winker K, Zhuravlev YN, McCracken KG (2005)

Phylogeography of the Mallard (*Anas platyrhynchos*): Hybridization, dispersal, and lineage sorting contribute to complex geographic structure. Auk 122:949–965

- Librado P, Rozas J (2009) DnaSP v5: A software for comprehensive analysis of DNA polymorphism data. Bioinformatics 25:1451– 1452
- Lowe VT (1966) Notes on the Musk Duck. Emu 65:279-290
- Marchant S, Higgins PJ (1990) Handbook of Australian, New Zealand, and Antarctic birds. Pelican to Ducks, vol 1B. Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK
- Martindale J (1980) The warden's report, 1977–1979: Birds. Royal Australian Ornithologists Union Report 1:4–40
- Mathews GM (1914) Additions and corrections to my list of the birds of Australia. Austral Avian Record 2:83–107
- Mathews GM (1927) Systema avium australasianarum. British Ornithologists' Union, Tring, UK
- McCracken KG (1999) Systematics, ecology, and social biology of the Musk Duck (*Biziura lobata*) of Australia. Ph.D. Dissertation, Louisiana State University
- McCracken KG (2005) Musk Duck *Biziura lobata*. In: Kear J (ed) Ducks, geese and swans. Oxford University Press, New York, USA, pp 213–217
- McCracken KG, Afton AD, Paton DC (2000) Nest and eggs of Musk Ducks *Biziura lobata* at Murray Lagoon, Cape Gantheaume Conservation Park, Kangaroo Island, South Australia. South Australian Ornithologist 33:65–70
- McCracken KG, Fullagar PJ, Slater EC, Paton DC, Afton AD (2002) Advertising displays of male Musk Ducks indicate population subdivision across the Nullarbor Plain of Australia. Wildfowl 53:137–154
- McCracken KG, Hemmings J, Paton DC, Afton AD (2003) Capture methods for Musk Ducks. Wildfowl 54:209–212
- McCracken KG, Bulgarella M, Johnson KP, Kuhner MK, Trucco J, Valqui TH, Wilson RE, Peters JL (2009) Gene flow in the face of countervailing selection: adaptation to high-altitude hypoxia in the  $\beta$ A hemoglobin subunit of Yellow-billed Pintail in the Andes. Mol Biol Evol 26:815–827
- Miller GH, Magee JW, Jull AJT (1997) Low-latitude glacial cooling in the Southern Hemisphere from amino-acid racemization in Emu eggshells. Nature 385:241–244
- Moore WS (1995) Inferring phylogenies from mtDNA variationmitochondrial-gene trees versus nuclear-gene trees. Evolution 49:718–726
- Morgan DG (1954) Seasonal changes in population of Anatidae at the Laverton saltworks, Victoria. Emu 54:263–278
- Moritz C (1994) Defining evolutionarily-significant-units for conservation. Trends Ecol Evol 9:373–375
- Nanson GC, Price DM, Short SA (1992) Wetting and drying of Australia over the past 300 Ka. Geology 20:791–794
- Neaves LE, Zenger KR, Prince RIT, Eldridge MDB, Cooper DW (2009) Landscape discontinuities influence gene flow and genetic structure in a large, vagile Australian mammal, *Macropus fuliginosus*. Mol Ecol 18:3363–3378
- Nei M, Takahata N (1993) Effective population-size, genetic diversity, and coalescence time in subdivided populations. J Mol Evol 37:240–244
- Palumbi SR, Cipriano F, Hare MP (2001) Predicting nuclear gene coalescence from mitochondrial data: the three-times rule. Evolution 55:859–868
- Parker SA, Eckert HJ, Ragless GB (1985) An annotated checklist of the birds of South Australia, part 2A: waterfowl. The South Australian Ornithological Association, Adelaide, Australia
- Paton DC, Carpenter G, Sinclair RG (1994) A second bird atlas of the Adelaide region. Part 1. Changes in the distribution of birds: 1974–1975 vs 1984–1985. South Australian Ornithologist 31:151–193

- Peakall R, Smouse PE (2006) GENALEX 6: genetic analysis in Excel. Population genetic software for teaching and research. Mol Ecol Notes 6:288–295
- Peel D, Ovenden JR, Peel SL (2004) NeEstimator: software for estimating effective population size, version 1.3. Queensland Government, Department of Primary Industries and Fisheries
- Peters JL, Gretes W, Omland KE (2005) Late Pleistocene divergence between eastern and western populations of Wood Ducks (*Aix sponsa*) inferred by the 'isolation with migration' coalescent method. Mol Ecol 14:3407–3418
- Peters JL, Zhuravlev Y, Fefelov I, Logie A, Omland KE (2007) Nuclear loci and coalescent methods support ancient hybridization as cause of mitochondrial paraphyly between Gadwall and Falcated Duck (*Anas* spp.). Evolution 61:1992–2006
- Phillips JC (1926) A natural history of the ducks, vol 4. Houghton Mifflin, Boston, USA
- Piry S, Luikart G, Cornuet JM (1999) BOTTLENECK: A computer program for detecting recent reductions in the effective population size using allele frequency data. J Hered 90:502–503
- Poiani A (2006) Effects of floods on distribution and reproduction of aquatic birds. Adv Ecol Res 39:63–83
- Posada D, Crandall KA (1998) MODELTEST: testing the model of DNA substitution. Bioinformatics 14:817–818
- Queller DC, Goodnight KF (1989) Estimating relatedness using genetic-markers. Evolution 43:258–275
- Ramsey EP (1867) Illustration of Australian oology. Ibis 3:413-421
- Raymond M, Rousset F (1995) Genepop (Version-1.2)—populationgenetics software for exact tests and ecumenicism. J Hered 86:248–249
- Robinson FN, Robinson AH (1970) Regional variation in the visual and acoustic signals of the male Musk Duck, *Biziura lobata*. C.S.I.R.O. Wildlife Research 15:73–78
- Robinson AC, Casperson KD, Hutchinson MN (2000) A list of the vertebrates of South Australia. Department of Environment and Heritage, Adelaide, Australia
- Roshier DA, Robertson AI, Kingsford RT (2002) Responses of waterbirds to flooding in an arid region of Australia and implications for conservation. Biol Conserv 106:399–411
- Salinas M, Bunce M, Cancilla D, Alpers DL, Spencer PBS (2009) Divergent lineages in the Heath Mouse (*Pseudomys shortridgei*) are indicative of major contraction to geographically isolated refugia on the eastern and western sides of Australia during the early Pleistocene. Australian Journal of Zoology 57:41–47
- Saunders DA, Ingram JA (1995) Birds of southwestern Australia: an atlas of changes in the distribution and abundance of the wheatbelt avifauna. Surrey Beatty & Sons, Chipping Norton, Australia
- Schodde R, Mason IJ (1999) The directory of Australian Birds: passerines. CSIRO Publishing, Collingwood, Australia

- Scribner KT, Petersen MR, Fields RL, Talbot SL, Pearce JM, Chesser RK (2001) Sex-biased gene flow in Spectacled Eiders (Anatidae): Inferences from molecular markers with contrasting modes of inheritance. Evolution 55:2105–2115
- Serventy VN (1946) Display in the Musk Duck. Emu 45:318-321
- Slatkin M (1995) A measure of population subdivision based on microsatellite allele frequencies. Genetics 139:457–462
- Sonsthagen SA, Talbot SL, Lanctot RB, Scribner K, McCracken KG (2009) Hierarchical spatial genetic structure of Common Eiders (*Somateria mollissima*) breeding along a migratory corridor. Auk 126:744–754
- Sorenson MD, Fleischer RC (1996) Multiple independent transpositions of mitochondrial DNA control region sequences to the nucleus. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 93:15239–15243
- Sorenson MD, Ast JC, Dimcheff DE, Yuri T, Mindell DP (1999) Primers for a PCR-based approach to mitochondrial genome sequencing in birds and other vertebrates. Mol Phylogenet Evol 12:105–114
- Specht RL (1981) Major vegetation formations in Australia. In: Keast A (ed) Ecological biogeography of Australia. Junk, The Hague, Netherlands, pp 163–297
- Spencer PBS, Rhind SG, Eldridge MDB (2001) Phylogeographic structure within *Phascogale* (Marsupialia: Dasyuridae) based on partial cytochrome b sequence. Australian Journal of Zoology 49:369–377
- Stephens M, Smith NJ, Donnelly P (2001) A new statistical method for haplotype reconstruction from population data. Am J Hum Genet 68:978–989
- Stranger RH (1961) Display in the Musk Duck. Western Australian Naturalist 7:210–211
- Thomas R, Wheeler J (1983) Birds of the Ballarat region. Roger Thomas, Linton, Victoria
- Todd FS (1997) Natural history of the waterfowl. Ibis Publishing Company, Vista, USA
- Toon A, Mather PB, Baker AM, Durrant KL, Hughes JM (2007) Pleistocene refugia in an arid landscape: analysis of a widely distributed Australian passerine. Mol Ecol 16:2525–2541
- Victorian Department of Sustainability and Environment (2007) Advisory list of threatened vertebrate fauna in Victoria—2007. Department of Sustainability and Environment, East Melbourne
- Wasson RJ (1982) Landform development in Australia. In: Barker WP, Greenslade PJM (eds) Evolution of the flora and fauna of arid Australia. Peacocke, Frewville, Australia, pp 23–33
- Wetlands International (2006) Waterbird population estimates, 4th edn. Wetland International, Wanegingen, The Netherlands
- Wood VJ (1960) Recovery of a banded Giant Petrel. South Australian Ornithologist 23:42
- Worthy TH (2002) The New Zealand Musk Duck (*Biziura delautouri* Forbes, 1892). Notornis 49:19–28